MST

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CONTEMPT PETITION NO.104 OF 1993
IN
WRIT PETITION NO.863 OF 1988

Electropathy Medicas of India Petitioner
versus
State of Maharashtra & others Respondents

Mrs.S.S.Bhende, AGP for respondents.

CORAM : A.S.OKA, J.

DATE : 28th March 2007

PC :

1. None appears for the petitioner. Learned AGP appears for the respondents. In this contempt petition, the petitioner society has alleged that the respondents have committed breach of an interim order passed by this Court in Writ Petition No.863 of 1988. It is alleged that notwithstanding the interim order passed in the said writ petition, various news items were published at the instance of the first respondent and it’s officers stating therein that the courses conducted by the petitioner are not recognised. It is stated in the petition that

MST

Contempt Petition No.133 of 1988 was filed by the petitioner which was disposed of by order dated 28th July 1988 by directing that the fourth respondent should issue a press note mentioning that Writ Petition No.863 of 1988 filed by the petitioner raising question of recognition was pending in this Court. The allegation in this contempt petition is that the fourth respondent failed to issue press note and in May 1993 and June 1993 fresh notices were published at the instance of first respondent.

2. I have perused the averments made in the petition and copies of notices published in the year 1993 at the instance of the first respondent State. In the said notices it is specifically mentioned that Writ Petition No.863 of 1988 is pending in this Court.

3. Moreover, the said Writ Petition No.863 of 1988 has been finally decided by order dated 13th August 2001 and the petitioner society has been directed to close down all the courses in Electropathy/Electro-Homoeopathy forthwith. By the said order, the Division Bench of this Court directed the petitioner society not to grant affiliation/recognition to any college or

MST

institution.

4. In view of subsequent order in Writ Petition No.863 of 1988, no case is made out for taking action against the respondents. Accordingly, notice of contempt issued to the respondents is discharged. Contempt Petition is disposed of.

(A.S.OKA, J.)